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#### Abstract

The potent antiinflammatory agent precursor (+)-fuscol (2) has been synthesized using the chiral reagent 1 to effect the key step, the completely enantioselective Ireland-Claisen rearrangement of ester $\mathbf{3}$ to acid $\mathbf{4 a}$. Conversion of $\mathbf{4 a}$ to the corresponding aldehyde $\mathbf{4 c}$, cation-olefin cyclization to $\mathbf{5 a}$, and deoxygenation produced $(+)-\beta$-elemene (6). ( + )-Fuscol (2) was synthesized from 6 via intermediates 7 and 8.


In the past four decades there has been an enormous increase in the synthetic chemist's ability to assemble complex cyclic natural products, due in part to the availability of an assortment of powerful ring-forming reactions, which have served as key steps in synthetic design. ${ }^{1}$ This approach can be made even more potent by the application of enantioselective versions of cyclization processes, allowing direct access to the required absolute configuration of the target molecule. ${ }^{2,3}$ Reported herein is a further evolution of synthetic strategy in which the key reaction of synthetic planning is not a cyclization reaction, but an enantioselective Ireland-Claisen rearrangement that creates a chiral acyclic platform for subsequent cyclization and elaboration. The use of the Ireland-Claisen (ester) rearrangement in this way is possible due to the recent development of chiral reagent 1 (or enantiomer), which allows channeling of this reaction via either the $E$ or $Z$ boron enolate (generally with better than $90: 10$ selectivity) and control of the absolute configuration of the Ireland-Claisen product (generally $>97 \%$ ee). ${ }^{4,5}$ The specific synthetic target of this research was the marine natural product fuscol (2), ${ }^{6}$ whose araboside is a potent antiinflammatory agent that blocks leukotriene C, but not prostaglandin, biosynthesis. ${ }^{7}(+)$-Fuscol is a member of the growing class of prenylated elemane derivatives termed lobanes for which one synthesis (from mannitol) has been reported. ${ }^{8,9}$ The short synthetic pathway described herein produces ( + )-fuscol of $>99 \%$ ee via the intermediate terpenoid $(+)$ - $\beta$-elemene. ${ }^{10}$
Reaction of geraniol with 1.1 equiv of $\beta, \beta$-dimethylacryloyl chloride and 1.5 equiv of triethylamine $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ afforded the $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ester 3 ( $99 \%$ yield) in an interesting reaction that probably proceeds via a vinylketene intermediate. Treatment of $\mathbf{3}$ in toluene with 1.1 equiv of ( $S, S$ )-bromoborane

[^0]1 and 8.3 equiv of triethylamine $\left(-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 27 h , then $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h ) afforded the Ireland-Claisen product 4a as a major product along with a minor diastereomer ( $85 \%$ total yield). Reduction of the mixture to the corresponding primary alcohols $\left(\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}\right.$ ) and chromatography on $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ impregnated silica gel gave diastereomerically pure $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( $70 \%$ yield) of $>99 \%$ enantiomeric purity. ${ }^{11}$ Oxidation of $\mathbf{4 b}$ with 1.5 equiv of the Dess-Martin periodinane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1\right.$ h) provided aldehyde $\mathbf{4 c}$ ( $98 \%$ yield). Treatment of $\mathbf{4 c}$ with 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ followed by extractive isolation and chromatography on silica gel- $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ furnished the cyclized equatorial alcohol $\mathbf{5 a}$ ( $88 \%$ yield) along with $3 \%$ yield of a less polar diastereomer (having equatorial hydroxyl and axial $\beta$-isopropenyl substituents). Reaction of 5a with 2-chloro-1,3-dimethyl-1,3,2-diazaphospholane ${ }^{12}$ and triethylamine $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, 75 min$)$ provided, after oxidation with 1.2 equiv of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ for $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathbf{5 b},{ }^{13}$ which was reduced with excess lithium and tert-amyl alcohol (4 equiv) in liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$-THF ( $-33{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$ ) to give ( + )- $\beta$-elemene ( $6,95 \%$ yield $)$, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+15.4^{\circ}\left(c=0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$, which was indistinguishable, by NMR and infrared spectroscopic comparison, from an authentic sample of naturally derived ( - )- $\beta$-elemene. ${ }^{14}$
$(+)$ - $\beta$-Elemene (6) was converted to the methyl ketone 7 by a two-step sequence. Catalytic dihydroxylation with the Sharpless phthalazine-linked bisether with dihydroquinidine, (DHQD) $2_{2}$ $\mathrm{PHAL}^{15}$ ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ ( 0.01 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ ( 3 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3 equiv), and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1 equiv) in 1:1 tert-butyl alcohol-water at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 11 h afforded, after chromatography on silica gel, the diol resulting from selective attack at the
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isopropenyl appendage (1,4-) to the angular methyl group ( $76 \%$ yield; $92 \%$ yield corrected for recovered 6). Cleavage of the resulting 1,2-diol with 3 equiv of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}\left(4: 1 \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 23\right.$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ) gave 7 in $96 \%$ yield. The highly selective attack of just one of the three double bonds of 6 by $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ under catalysis by ( DHQD$)_{2}-\mathrm{PHAL}^{15}$ was predicted on the basis of the mechanistic model recently proposed for the asymmetric dihydroxylation reaction. ${ }^{16,17}$ Coupling of methyl ketone 7 with 20 equiv each of $(n-\mathrm{BuO})_{2} \mathrm{POCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCOO} n-\mathrm{Bu}^{18}$ and $\mathrm{LiO} t$ - Bu (added in four portions, THF solution, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ ) furnished the tetraene ester 8 in $80 \%$ yield after chromatography on silica gel. ${ }^{19}$ Reaction of 8 with 5 equiv of $\mathrm{MeLi}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-30\right.$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ ) afforded ( + )-fuscol (2), $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+19.7^{\circ}(c=1$,

[^2]$\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right),{ }^{20}$ as a colorless oil in $95 \%$ yield. The UV, infrared, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and MS/HRMS spectra were identical with those recorded previously. ${ }^{6,7}$

Several aspects of this research on the synthesis of $(+)$-fuscol deserve further comment. First, the very high enantioselectivity of the key Ireland-Claisen step (3 $\rightarrow$ ) provides clear evidence of the value of this new method in multistep synthesis and support for a useful strategic role for this enantioselective reaction in synthetic planning. Second, it was originally intended that $(+)$ - $\beta$-elemene (6) be made from alcohol 4b by cation-olefin cyclization of a sulfonate ester. However, extensive experimentation of such ring closure reactions was completely (and surprisingly) unproductive because of the intervention of several competing cationic pathways. For example, treatment of the mesylate of $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{b}$ with methyl aluminum dichloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to $\left.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ afforded none of the desired 6, but only a complex mixture of hydrocarbons. In contrast, the cyclization of aldehyde $\mathbf{4 c}$ was very facile and clean, and even highly diastereoselective for the equatorial alcohol 5a. Although deoxygenation of 5a failed using the Barton method ( $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ with various thiono esters), the process reported above, in contrast, was successful. The method used for the transformation of 5a to $\mathbf{6}$ may also be effective in other difficult cases. ${ }^{21,22}$

## Experimental Section

Proton and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{( } \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on an AM-500 ( 500 MHz ) or AM-400 ( 400 MHz ) Bruker nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer using chloro-form- $d$ as a solvent. Chemical shifts are reported as $\delta$ in units of parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane ( $\delta 0.0$ ) using the residual solvent signal as an internal standard: $\delta 7.26\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right), 77.0$ triplet $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$. All coupling constants are in units of hertz. Phosphorus nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR) spectra were recorded on an AM-500 ( 500 MHz ), or WM-300 ( 300 MHz ) Bruker nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometer using chloroform- $d$ as a solvent. Chemical shifts are reported as $\delta$ in units of parts per million downfield of triphenylphosphine ( $\delta-6.0$ ) as an external standard. Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Nicolet 5 ZDX FTIR spectrometer with an internal polystyrene sample as a reference. Mass spectral analyses were recorded on JEOL model AX-505 or SX-102 spectrometers. Optical rotations were measured in chloroform using a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a sodium lamp ( 589 nm ) and are reported in degrees with concentration in units of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. Ultraviolet/visible (UV/vis) spectra were recorded in cyclohexane in quartz vessels using a Hewlett-Packard 8452A diode array spectrophotometer. Reactions were monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) using Merck 60 $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ precoated silica gel plates ( 0.25 mm thickness). After ultraviolet illumination at 254 nm , the plates were visualized by immersion in the indicated solution and warming on a hot plate. Baker silica gel (40 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ particle size) and reagent grade solvents were used for flash chromatography. Preparative thin layer chromatography was performed using Merck $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ precoated silica gel plates ( 0.50 mm thickness, 20 $\times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Radial chromatography was performed on a Harrison Research Chromatotron 7924 and silica gel plates (no. 7749, Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{PF}_{254}$, Merck). $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$-impregnated silica gel plates were prepared by the addition of $5 \%$ (w/w silica gel) $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ to the water used in typical chromatotron plate preparation. Analytical high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was carried out using an ISCO 2350 pump, Diacel Corp. Chiracel OD analytical column, variable wavelength UV detector, and Hewlett-Packard 3396A integrator. All solvents are reagent grade unless otherwise stated, and anhydrous solvents were dried immediately prior to use.

[^3](E)-Geranyl 3-Methyl-3-butenoate (3). A solution of geraniol (225 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and triethylamine ( $271 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in dry dichloromethane ( 1 mL ) was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise with 3,3-dimethylacryloyl chloride ( $159 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). After 3 h , the solution was diluted with water ( 1 mL ) and dichloromethane ( 1 mL ), and the cooling bath was removed. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organics were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by radial chromatography ( 4 mm SiO 2 plate; eluent, $7 \%$ EtOAc-hexanes; product, fractions 4-6; $30 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) afforded 3 ( $301 \mathrm{mg}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ yield) as a clear oil: $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.14; product, 0.51 ( $5: 1$ hexanes-EtOAc, anisaldehyde); FTIR (film) 2970, 2919, 2858, 1738, 1653, 1445, 1377, 1206, 1153, 987, $896 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.31-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.2,142.2,138.6,131.7$, 123.7, 118.2, 114.5, 61.4, 43.4, 39.4, 26.2, 25.6, 22.3, 17.6, 16.4; HRMS (EI, Pos) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{+} 236.1776$, found 236.1768 .
( $2 R, 3 R$ )-2-Isopropenyl-3,7-dimethyl-3-vinyl-6-octenoic Acid (4a). The 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzenesulfonamide of ( $S, S$ )-1,2-diphenyl-1,2-diaminoethane ( $718 \mathrm{mg}, 0.940 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dried under vacuum at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction flask was then evacuated and flushed three times with dry nitrogen. Freshly distilled dichloromethane ( 32 mL ) was added, and the homogeneous solution was cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min , freshly distilled $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}\left(3.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $1.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) was added, and the solution was stirred for 5 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then warmed to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 16 h , all volatile materials were removed under vacuum, the resulting white solid was redissolved in dichloromethane ( 20 mL ), and the solution was concentrated again. After 60 min , the flask was evacuated and flushed three times with nitrogen, and the resultant white solid was dissolved in freshly distilled toluene ( 32 mL ). The bromoborane complex (1) was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(983 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 7.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.5$ equiv) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred to effect solution ( 25 min ). A precooled solution of $3(175 \mathrm{mg}, 0.740 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.8$ equiv) in toluene ( 4 mL ) was added dropwise at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resultant solution was stirred at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 27 h and subsequently warmed to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 36 h , the reaction solution was warmed to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, diluted with diethyl ether ( 40 mL ), and washed with $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~N}, 4 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous phases were combined, washed with diethyl ether ( 40 mL ), acidified to pH 1 with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, and extracted with diethyl ether ( $4 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ethereal extract was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to give a $3: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{4 a}$ and a minor diastereomer as a yellow oil ( $149.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.631 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ yield): $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.71 ; product, 0.26 ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, Verghns); FTIR (film) 3084, 3055, 2972, 2927, 2859, 2729, 1707, 1638, 1452, 1413, 1377, 1265, 916, $742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.09$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9,17.5$, minor), 5.86 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9,17.5$, major), $4.96-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major), $3.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, minor), $1.85-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, major), $1.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H , minor); HRMS (EI, Pos) m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{+} 236.1776$, found 236.1783.
(2R,3R)-2-Isopropenyl-3,7-dimethyl-3-vinyl-6-octenol (4b). A mixture of 4 a and minor diastereomer ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in dry diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) was treated with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.381$ mmol, 5.0 equiv) at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 12 h , additional $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(15 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.381 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) and diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) were added. After an additional $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{NaOH}(15 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added sequentially. The mixture was stirred for 10 min , filtered, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( 10 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent, $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexanes; product, fractions $7-21 ; 10 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) yielded a $3: 1$ mixture of $\mathbf{4 b}$ and minor diastereomer as a clear oil ( $15.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ yield): $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.46 ; product, $0.72\left(12 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, anisaldehyde). The $3: 1$ mixture of diastereomers was separated by $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}-$ impregnated radial chromatography ( 4 mm SiO 2 plate; eluent, $4: 1$ EtOAc-hexanes; minor, fractions $11-15 ; 4 b$, fractions $16-35 ; 30 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) followed by passage through silica gel ( $20 \mathrm{~g} ; 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $10 \%$ EtOAc -hexanes) to afford diastereomerically pure $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( $70 \%$ yield): $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$-impregnated TLC: $R_{f} \mathbf{4 b}, 0.20 ;$ minor $0.35(12 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, anisaldehyde). The enantiomeric purity of $\mathbf{4 b}$ was determined to be greater than $99: 1$ by chiral high-performance liquid chromatog-
raphy (Chiralcel OD column, 1\% 2-propanol-hexanes, $214 \mathrm{~nm}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} /$ min, retention times $R, R$-isomer, $\mathbf{4 b}=9.4 \mathrm{~min}, S, S$-isomer $=23$ $\mathrm{mm}):[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+40.2^{\circ}\left(c=0.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (film) $3377,3080,2969$, $2925,2858,1639,1450,1414,1376,1033,1005,912,893 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.80$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8,17.5$ ), $5.02-5.08$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.91$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3,17.5), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6), 3.72$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3,10.7), 3.58(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.7), 2.25(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.3$, $10.7), 1.82-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=0.8), 1.57$ (s, 3H), 1.30-1.44 (m, 2H), $1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(101} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 144.4,144.3,131.3,124.7,115.7,112.8,61.1,58.6,41.2,39.4,25.7$, $23.2,22.6,20.8,17.6 ; \mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{m} / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ 240.2327, found 240.2317 .
( $2 R, 3 R$ )-2-Isopropenyl-3,7-dimethyl-3-vinyl-6-octenal (4c). A suspension of Dess-Martin reagent ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) in dry dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added to $\mathbf{4 b}(81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.364 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , the solution was filtered through Celite 545, concentrated in vacuo, rediluted in hexanes, and filtered again through Celite 545. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash chromatography ( 10 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent, $4 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexanes, product, fractions $4-8 ; 10 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) to afford $\mathbf{4 c}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.359 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ yield) as a clear oil: $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.28 ; product, 0.58 ( $5: 1$ hexanes-EtOAc, anisaldehyde); $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+12.5^{\circ}\left(c=0.91, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (film) 2970, 2921, $2859,1721,1638,1453,1377,914 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 9.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5), 5.92(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9,17.6), 5.14-5.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.6), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5), 1.84-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57$ (s, 3H), $1.38-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.0,143.1,139.5,131.5,124.2,116.8,114.2,67.1,42.3,39.1,25.7$, 25.6, 22.4, 20.6, 17.6; HRMS (EI, Pos) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$ 220.1827, found 220.1817 .
( $1 R, 2 R, 3 R, 6 R$ )-2,6-Diisopropenyl-3-methyl-3-vinylcyclohexanol (5a). Diethylaluminum chloride ( $210 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.8 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 0.379 mmol , 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of $4 \mathrm{c}(76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.344 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in dry dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1.5 h , triethylamine ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added, the cooling bath was removed, and the solution was added to a mixture of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dichloromethane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the organic fractions were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( 15 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent, $4 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexanes; product, fractions 11-23; 10 $\mathrm{mL} /$ fraction) afforded a $96: 4$ mixture of 5 a and a minor diastereomer ( $70.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.318 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ yield): $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.58 ; product, 0.41 (5:1 hexanes-EtOAc, anisaldehyde). The diastereomeric mixture was separated by $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$-impregnated radial chromatography ( 2 mm plate; eluent, 5:1 EtOAc-hexanes; product, fractions $10-33 ; 3 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) followed by passage through silica gel ( $10 \mathrm{~g} ; 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $4 \%$ EtOAc -hexanes) to afford pure $\mathbf{5 a}\left(88 \%\right.$ yield) as a clear oil: $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}-$ impregnated TLC: $R_{f} 5 \mathrm{a}, 0.08$; mimor, $0.17\left(12 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$, anisaldehyde); $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-17.8^{\circ}\left(c=1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (film) 3566 , 3486, 2969, 2931, 1639, 1454, 1375, 1004, $910,889 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.78$ (dd, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9,17.4\right), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-$ $4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4), 2.08(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4.8,10.8), 1.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4), 1.90(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.1,13.0), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 148.9,147.1,144.2,114.1,112.2,110.3$, 69.3, 59.7, 53.7, 41.3, 39.0, 26.2, 25.0, 19.5, 18.1; HRMS (EI, Pos) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+} 220.1827$, found 220.1826 .

Reaction of 2-Chloro-1,3-dimethyl-1,3,2-diazaphospholane with 5a (5b). 2-Chloro-1,3-dimethyl-1,3,2-diazaphospholane ${ }^{12}(10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.076$ mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of $\mathbf{5 a}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054$ mmol, 1.0 equiv) and triethylamine ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) in dry dichloromethane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 75 mm , hydrogen peroxide ( $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 30 \%$ aqueous solution, $0.065 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added, and the reaction was stirred vigorously for 10 min and then quenched with sat $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 1 mL ). After 5 min of vigorous stirring, the solution was added to a mixture of dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) and water ( 20 mL ). The aqueous portion was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic fractions were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( 10 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent $1 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; product, fractions $12-15 ; 10 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) afforded, in addition to recovered 5 a ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ yield), 5 b ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042$ mmol, $77 \%$ yield, $92 \%$ after two cycles) as a clear oil: $R_{f}$ starting
material, 0.78 ; product, 0.35 ( $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, Verghns); $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}$ $-25.4^{\circ}\left(c=1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ FTIR (film) $3079,2934,2880,1647,1451$, $1269,1240,1161,1003,941 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 5.74 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.9,17.3$ ), 5.03 (bs, 1 H ), 4.83-4.93 (m, 5 H ), 4.58 $(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.3), 2.93-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.22$ (m, 1H), 2.00-2.06(m, 1H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 1.77 (bs, 3H), 1.36-1.70 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 148.6,146.9$, 142.7, 114.6 (bm), 112.9, 110.4, 77.8 (bm), 58.7 (bm), 53.8, 47.3 (d), $41.7,38.7,33.8,33.6,27.9,20.3,18.3 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ external standard at $\left.-6 \mathrm{ppm}\right) \delta 22.65(\mathrm{t}, J=10)$; HRMS (EI, Pos) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+} 352.2280$, found 352.2285 .
$(+)-\beta$-Elemene (6). A solution of dry $\mathbf{5 b}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.152 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv, azeotroped from toluene) and tert-amyl alcohol ( $67 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.608$ mmol, 4.0 equiv) in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 1.5 mL ) was cannulated into a blue solution of excess lithium in liquid ammonia ( 5 mL ) at $-33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The transfer flask was rinsed with tetrahydrofuran ( 0.5 mL ), and the solution was stirred for 10 h . The solution was sequentially quenched dropwise with isoprene (ca. $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (2 mL ) and diluted with pentanes ( 4 mL ). After warming to $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was added to a mixture of pentanes ( 30 mL ) and water ( 30 $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous portion was extracted with pentanes $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic fractions were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( 10 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent, pentanes; product, fractions $4-7 ; 10 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction $)$ afforded $6(29.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.144$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ yield) as a clear oil: $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.00 ; product, 0.71 (pentanes, Verghns); $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+15.4^{\circ}\left(c=0.59, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (film) 3083, 2969, 2931, 1644, 1454, 1440, 1374, 1004, $909 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0,17.4), 4.88-4.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6), 4.70-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-$ $2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-$ $1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.4$, $150.3,147.7,112.1,109.8,108.2,52.8,45.7,39.9,39.8,32.9,26.8$, 24.7, 21.1, 16.6; HRMS (EI, Pos) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24}\right]^{+}$204.1878, found 204.1869
Dihydroxylation of 6. A solution of (DHQD) $)_{2}-\mathrm{PHAL}^{15}(11 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0137 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv), potassium osmate(VI) dihydrate ( 0.5 mg , $0.0014 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.01$ equiv), potassium ferrocyanide ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.411$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), potassium carbonate ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.411 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and methanesulfonamide ( $13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $1: 1$ 2-methyl-2-propanol-water ( 1.5 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The biphasic mixture was added to $6\left(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 11 h . The solution was quenched with excess $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ (until precipitate and color disappeared). After warming to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution was added to a mixture of dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) and water ( 20 mL ). The aqueous portion was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic fractions were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( 15 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent, $28 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}-$ hexanes; product, fractions $19-30 ; 10 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) afforded, in addition to recovered 6 ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ yield), a $3: 1$ mixture of diastereomers of the 1,2-diol ( $24.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ yield) as a clear oil: $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.75; product, 0.33 ( $1: 1$ hexanes-EtOAc, Verghns); FTIR (film) $3404,2970,2939,2869,1638,1441,1376,1042,908,890 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.79$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,17.9$ ), $4.88-$ $4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, major), $4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, minor), 3.59 (d, 1H, $J=10.9$, major), 3.57 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9$, mimor), 3.43 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.9$ ), 2.07 (bs, 2H), 1.96 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.7,12.3$ ), $1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.98 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.0,147.7,112.1,110.0$, $74.6,68.5,68.4,52.6,44.9,39.7,28.7,27.4,24.8,22.8,21.5,20.3$, 20.3, 16.5; HRMS (CI, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ) m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{4} 256.2277$, found 256.2277 .
(1S,3R,4R)-1-Acetyl-3-isopropenyl-4-methyl-4-vinylcyclohexane (7). Sodium periodate ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.289 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of the 1,2 -diol ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $4: 1$ tetrahydrofuran-water ( 2 mL ) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min , the solution was added to a mixture of dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) and water ( 20 mL ). The aqueous portion was extracted with dichloromethane ( $2 \times$ $20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic fractions were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( 10 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent, $7 \%$ EtOAc-hexanes; product, fractions $3-9 ; 10 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) afforded $7\left(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%\right.$ yield) as a clear oil: $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.07 ; product, 0.61 (3:1 hexanes-EtOAc, Verghns); $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+37.0^{\circ}(c$
$=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FTIR (film) 3082, 2971, 2935, 2864, 1711, 1638, 1441, $1373,1353,908,892 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.80(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6,17.8), 4.89-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.4), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 211.6,149.6,146.9,112.6,110.3,52.0$, 51.9, 39.6, 39.1, 29.4, 28.2, 24.7, 23.7, 16.5; HRMS (EI, Pos) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$206.1671, found 206.1661.

Butyl 5-[( $\left.\mathbf{1}^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R\right)$-3'-Isopropenyl-4'-methyl-4'-vinylcyclohexyl]( $\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{E}$ )-hexadienoate (8). $n$-Butylithium ( $244 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.57 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, $0.384 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.95$ equiv) was added to a solution of 2-methyl-2-propanol ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.388 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran ( 0.5 mL ) at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 mm , butyl (dibutylphosphono)-2-butenoate ( $108 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.388$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) was added, and the mixture was briefly warmed to effect solution. After 15 mm at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the yellow phosphonate anion solution was cannulated into $7(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in tetrahydrofuran ( 0.5 mL ) at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After $18 \mathrm{~h}, 5$ equiv of additional phosphonate anion was added in the same manner. This process was repeated at 28 and 41 h . After 48 h of stirring, the reaction mixture was diluted in dichloromethane, passed through silica gel ( $15 \mathrm{~g}, 200$ $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( 15 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent, $1.5 \%$ EtOAc-hexanes; product, fractions 7-15; 10 $\mathrm{mL} /$ fraction $)$ afforded $8(22.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ yield) as a $12: 1$ mixture of diastereomers: $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.55 ; product, 0.75 (5:1 hexanes-EtOAc, anisaldehyde). Preparative thin layer chromatography ( 0.5 mm plate, $9: 1$ pentanes-diethyl ether, $R_{f}$ trans,trans-8, 0.42 ) afforded pure $8\left(80 \%\right.$ yield) as a clear oil: $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+24.5^{\circ}(c=1.17$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FTIR (film) 3081, 2961, 2933, 2871, 1714, 1635, 1308, 1274, 1212, 1129, $979,890 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/vis $\lambda_{\text {max }}=272 \mathrm{~nm}, \epsilon=35000 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6,15.1), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=11.6), 5.79-5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 1.6), $4.59(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.7), 2.05-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.4,12.5$ ), $1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $167.7,153.7,149.9,147.3,141.0,121.6,119.3,112.3,110.1,64.0$, 52.6, 48.2, 39.7, 32.4, 30.8, 26.4, 24.8, 19.2, 16.7, 15.9, 13.7; HRMS (EI, Pos) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{+} 330.2559$, found 330.2553 .
$(+)$-Fuscol (2). Methyllithium ( $161 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ in diethyl ether, 0.242 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added to a solution of $8(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in diethyl ether ( 2 mL ) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 12 h , the reaction was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, warmed to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and added to a mixture of diethyl ether ( 10 mL ) and water ( 10 mL ). The aqueous portion was extracted with diethyl ether ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic fractions were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( 15 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$; eluent, $6 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}-1 \%$ triethylaminehexanes; product, fractions $10-20 ; 10 \mathrm{~mL} /$ fraction) afforded 2 ( 12.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.043 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield) as a clear oil: $R_{f}$ starting material, 0.75 ; product, 0.27 (5:1 hexanes-EtOAc, anisaldehyde); $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+19.7^{\circ}$ (c $=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FTIR (film) 3402, 3360, 3082, 2971, 2928, 2860, 1637, $1441,1374,966,908,890 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/vis $\lambda_{\text {max }}=240 \mathrm{~nm}, \epsilon=35,000$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.48$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8,15.3$ ), 5.87 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.8$ ), 5.82 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.1,17.2$ ), $5.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.3$ ), $4.88-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), J=1.5), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=3.5,12.6), 1.95-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-$ $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 150.2,147.6,143.4,139.3,123.1,122.3,112.1,109.9,70.9,52.8$, 47.7, 39.9, 39.8, 32.7, 29.9, 26.6, 24.7, 16.7, 15.3; HRMS (EI, Pos) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+} 288.2453$, found 288.2440 .
Butyl (Dibutylphosphono)-2-butenoate. A solution of butyl 4-bromo-2-butenoate ${ }^{18}$ ( $6.5 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and tributyl phosphite ( $8.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) was heated to reflux (approximately $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After 14 h , the crude reaction mixture was distilled under reduced pressure to produce ( $137-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 0.1 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) a 5:1 trans:cis mixture of butyl (dibutylphosphono)-2-butenoate ( 5 g , $15 \mathrm{mmol}, 50 \%$ yield) as a clear viscous oil: FTIR (film) 2961, 2875, 1722, 1654, 1466, 1321, 1260, 1194, 1027, $906 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.83-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, trans $), 6.23-6.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, cis $)$, $5.93-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{cis}), 2.74$ (ddd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3,7.9,22.9$, trans), $1.60-1.67$ (m, 6 H ), $1.35-1.43$ (m, 6H), 0.91-0.95 (m, 9H); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $121 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ external standard at -6 ppm ) $\delta 23.8$ ( m , mimor), 22.7 (m, major); HRMS (EI, Pos) $m / z$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{P}\right]^{+}$334.1909, found 334.1920.
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